Review and Ranking Criteria - Continuum of Care Applications

Applicant Organization_____

Project _____

Date _____ **Points** _____

Application Review	Score:
A. **HMIS compliance:** HUD has been emphasizing that data quality has critical importance. New project-level HUD CoC APR has data quality sections embedded, and in addition to that, CoCs are now required to submit system-level HUD Data Quality Report once a year. The following sections are what we you will be scored on: (1) **Q.2. Personally Identifiable Information** (2) **Q.3. Universal Data Elements** (3) **Q.4 Income and Housing Data Quality** (4) **Q.5. Chronic Homelessness** (5) **Q.6 Timeliness** **SOURCE: HMIS Data Quality Reports** ⬛ **Section 1-4) 2pts. =** if error rate is 0-2% **1 pts. =** if error rate is 2-5% **0 pts. =** if error rate is over 5% **Section 5) 2 pts.**= 98% or < **0 pts.**=97.9% or >	**10 Point section**, each item is worth 2 points. **Sections 1-4 will look at the error rate for each item, some sections may require taking an average of the total items.** **Section 5 we will look at the count of entry records, you will add 0 days+ 1-3 days and divide by the total count of entry records.** **How Scores are calculated** **Points will be scored monthly. After all monthly scores have been finalized, we will take an average of the monthly scores. That average score is what will be applied to the ranking and review scoresheet.**
B. **Unit Utilization:** PIT Actual Unit Utilization on last Wednesday of the Month**.** **SOURCE: APR (Q.02 average of 4 months)**	**10 pts.=** above 95% **8 pts.=** 90% - 94.9 **6 pts =** 85% - 89.9% **4 pts.=** 81% - 84.9% **2 pt. =** 76% - 80.9% **0 pts.** = Below 76%
C. **Exit Destination:** Indicator- Exits to or Retention of Permanent Housing PH- % of participants who did not leave and % of participants who left and moved to PH destination. (Leavers Only) Calculation for PH: Number of participants who moved to PH upon exit + the number of participants who remained in PSH project / number of participants served by the PSH project *100. TH- % of participant who left TH and went to PH (Leavers) RRH- % of participants who left RRH and went to PH (Leavers)	*Permanent Supportive Housing Programs Only:* **15 pts. =** 90% or more of all participants in PH projects **10 pts. =** 89.9% to 80% of all participants in PH projects **0 pts. =** below 80 % of all participants in PH projects **NO EXITS = 15 pts** *Transitional Supportive Housing& RRH Programs Only:* **15 pts. =** 90% or more participants who left TH moved to PH

	10 pts. = 89.9% to 80% participants who left TH moved to PH **0 pts. = below 80**% of participants who left TH moved to PH
D. Cash Benefits: Government Assistance and /or earning income from employment (maintained or increased) % participants with 1+ source of cash benefits at exit **SOURCE: APR (Q.17 & Q.18)**	**5 pts.** = 30% or higher employed at exit
F. Non-Cash Benefits: Mainstream Resources and Health Insurance (maintained or increased) % participants with 1+ source of cash benefits at exit **SOURCE: APR (Q.20a & Q.21)**	**5 pts.** = 70% or higher non- cash benefits at exit **0 pts.** = below 69% non - cash benefits at exit
For Domestic Violence Service Providers Only: Domestic Violence service providers utilize evidence based and trauma-informed practices in their methods of services and service delivery.	**No points associated** **Yes or No**
I. Continuum/Coalition participation: Level of involvement in Continuum/Coalition committees and programming **Source: CoC meeting log (CoC MATRIX &spreadsheet)**	**10 pts. =** Attended more than 90% of meetings **0 pts.** = **Attended** less than 90% of meetings
K. Are you placing referrals from Central Intake? Are you serving those prioritized based on VI-SPIDAT scores from Central Intake? **SOURCE: APR (HMIS)**	**10 pts. =95% or more** **0 pts. = 94% or less** **95%-100% get points R&R**
L. FY 2016-2017 Unspent Funds – less than 5% of unspent funds Excludes S+C and Rental assistance **SOURCE: HUD and APR (Q.28)**	**10 pts.** = No **0 pts.** = Yes
M. FY 2015-2016 Unspent Funds – less than 5% of unspent funds Excludes S+C and Rental assistance **SOURCE: HUD and APR (Q.28)**	**10 pts.** = No **0 pts.** = Yes
N. Length of Stay – average length of stay (Leavers Only)	*Rapid Re-Housing Programs Only:* **5 pts. =** >6 months **3 pts. =** 6 months- 9 months **1pt.=** 9 months- 12 months **0 pts. =** 12 months< *Transitional Supportive Housing Programs Only:* **5 pts. =** >6 months **3 pts. =** 6 months- 9 months **1pt.=** 9 months- 12 months **0 pts. =** >12 months< *Permanent Supportive Housing Programs Only:* **5pts. =** 12 months<

SOURCE: (Q.22a1)	**3pts. =** 9 months- 12 months **1pt. =** 6 months – 9 months **0pts. =** < 6 months

Project uses Housing First practices **Application question 3B-3d**	**10 pts = yes** **0 pts = no**

Total Points _____/100

2018 CoC NOFA priorities
A. Policy Priorities. This section provides additional context regarding the selection criteria found in Section VII.B of this NOFA and is included here to help applicants better understand how the selection criteria support the goal of ending homelessness:

1. Ending homelessness for all persons. To end homelessness, CoCs should identify, engage, and effectively serve all persons experiencing homelessness. CoCs should measure their performance based on local data that consider the challenges faced by all subpopulations experiencing homelessness in the geographic area (e.g., veterans, youth, families, or those experiencing chronic homelessness). CoCs should have a comprehensive outreach strategy in place to identify and continuously engage all unsheltered individuals and families. Additionally, CoCs should use local data to determine the characteristics of individuals and families with the highest needs and longest experiences of homelessness to develop housing and supportive services tailored to their needs. Finally, CoCs should use the reallocation process to create new projects that improve their overall performance and better respond to their needs.

2. Creating a systemic response to homelessness. CoCs should be using system performance measures such as the average length of homeless episodes, rates of return to homelessness, and rates of exit to permanent housing destinations to determine how effectively they are serving people experiencing homelessness. Additionally, CoCs should use their Coordinated Entry process to promote participant choice, coordinate homeless assistance and mainstream housing and services to ensure people experiencing homelessness receive assistance quickly, and make homelessness assistance open, inclusive, and transparent.

3. Strategically allocating and using resources. Using cost, performance, and outcome data, CoCs should improve how resources are utilized to end homelessness. CoCs should review project quality, performance, and cost effectiveness. HUD also encourages CoCs to maximize the use of mainstream and other community-based resources when serving persons experiencing homelessness. CoCs should also work to develop partnerships with Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) to work toward helping CoC Program participants exit permanent supportive housing through Housing Choice Vouchers and other available options. Finally, CoCs should review all projects eligible for renewal in FY 2018 to determine their effectiveness in serving people experiencing homelessness, including cost effectiveness.

4. Use a Housing First approach. Housing First prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions. CoC Program funded projects should help individuals and families move quickly into permanent housing, and the CoC should measure and help projects reduce the length of time people experiences homelessness. Additionally, CoCs should engage landlords and property owners, remove barriers to entry, and adopt client-centered service method